|  |
| --- |
| **2018 SETAC Student Platform Award Review**Carolinas SETAC, Research Triangle Park, NC |
| Session: |  | Day: |  | Paper No: |  |
| Paper Title: |  |
| Place an "X" in the box most closely approximates your evaluation of the speaker's performance relative to the questions posted. Use the following scale: S = superb (10 pts); M = more than adequate (9 pts); A = adequate (6 pts); L= less than adequate (4 pts); or D = deficient (2 pts). |
| **Organization and Content:** |
|  | S | M | A | L | D |  |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  | Rate the adequacy of the introduction. |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |  | Rate the transition from one point to another. |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |  | Rate how well the speaker explained the purpose of the research, methods used, experiments performed, etc. |
| 4. |  |  |  |  |  | Rate how well the conclusion summarized the presentation and directed attention to the main points. |
| **Delivery:** |
| 5. |  |  |  |  |  | Rate the clarity of the presentation of the ideas and concepts in the session. |
| 6. |  |  |  |  |  | Rate the clarity and quality of the slides. |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |  | Rate the audibility of voice. |
| 8. |  |  |  |  |  | Rate eye contact. |
| 9. |  |  |  |  |  | Rate the "presence" of the speaker. |
| **Non-Scored Comments?** |
| 10. Rate the amount of information presented. |
|  |  | Too much |  | OK |  | Too little |
| 11. Rate the speed of the presentation. |
|  |  | Too much |  | OK |  | Too little |
| 12. What was the best feature of the delivery of the paper? |
| 13. What was the worst feature of the delivery of the paper? |
| 14. What was the best feature of the organization and content of the paper? |
| 15. How can the speaker improve the organization and content of future presentations?  |  |
| **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**: |  | TOTAL SCORE |  |
|  |
|  |
| REVIEWER |  | DATE: |  |